4/17/66 Balt. 5 wh WENTY-FIVE YEARS ago today the worst covert-action fiasco in American history occurred when a brigade of CIA-sponsored Cuban exiles landed at the Bay of Pigs.

The memory of that day haunts

By David Atlee Phillips

me because I was one of the CIA officers who planned the operation. But I recall more vividly and painfulby the 19th of April, 1961, when after two days we knew the defeat was beyond salvage. In Washington we listened to the final radio report from the Cuban commander on the beach. His invasion force of 1,400 Cuban exiles had been routed. He reported that he was standing in the shallows, that he was about to abandon his gear and head for the swamp.

Then he cursed the United States government, and he cursed us as individuals.

The question about the Bay of Pigs most frequently asked — particularly by those who were young or not even born at the time — is a simple one: Why did it fail?

There is no simple, single answer.

Some history should be set straight. It has often been argued

Recalling the Bay of Pigs

that the root cause of the disaster was that the CIA promised President Eisenhower and, after his inauguration, President Kennedy that a spontaneous uprising would be sparked in Cuba by the landing at the Bay of Pigs. That has become a durable myth: but it is a myth. The Bay of Pigs operational plan was based on the 1954 successful covert action. In which I was also involved, that led to the overthrow of the Arbenz government in Guatemala. No one in a responsible position ever contemplated a sudden victory in the Guatemalan endeavor. And it didn't occur until enough Guatemalans were convinced the invading army was well entrenched and the time had arrived to hop on the bandwag on. Nor, in the Cuban operation did anyone from the lowest operator to the Director of Central Intelligence, Allen Dulles, believe that immediate uprisings would topple the charismatic Fidel Castro.

Then why did it fail? For the first few years after the Bay of Pigs my observations were too subjective to be trusted. In 1975, however, I mustered as much objectivity as I could to list four principal reasons for the failure:

First. Kennedy's political advisers successfully argued that the CIA's original plan to land at a small town called Trinidad near Cuban mountains would make the operation unacceptably "noisy"; thus the change to the isolated, swampy landing site at the Bay of Pigs.

□ Next, the ambassador to the United Nations. Adlai Stevenson, was not thoroughly informed of preinvasion air strikes against Cuba. ClA sorties by exile pilots who claimed they were defecting from Castro's air force. Stevenson was understandably incensed after he denied charges by Cuba's foreign minister that the planes were on ClA-supported missions. His protest to Kennedy, who admired him, might have been critical in the decision to truncate the operation.

□ Then, those of us within CIA — including Allen Dulles and Richard Bissell, the senior action officer of the operation — should have ignored the agency's "can do" and "good soldier" tradition and told the White House that an operation of the dimensions of the Bay of Pigs, if to be conducted at all, should be managed openly by the Pentagon and not by a secret army.

□ Finally, Kennedy decided at zero hour to cancel the air cover which the 1,400 Cuban exiles in the invasion amphibious force had been promised. event for another decade, I must add a fifth element to the list of reasons. Among those concerned with the operation in CIA, there was a tacit assumption — which hardened into certainty by D-Day — that John Kennedy would ball out CIA if things went awry. Everyone, including Richard Bissell and Allen Dulles, believed deep down that Kennedy would rescue the operation with U.S. armed forces if need be. There had to be some sort of overt miliary option ready in the wings if defeat loomed. (Surely Elsenhower would have had one in reserve and used it.) But there was no contingency plan in fact or in Kennedy's mindset. Those involved in the project, from top to bottom, ignored an intelligence basic: don't assume; know.

al

ta

T

of

cl K

mptrainth

fa

te

m

ot

cc

po

gence basic: don't assume: know. Ba For those who demand a simple explanation of the Bay of Pigs debacle and for those who will not entertain the thesis that there was sufficient blame to share among everyone concerned, perhaps the curious incident of Fidel Castro not making a speech should be recalled. fo

In a crowded press conference, one of the first American newsmen to visit Havana after the Bay of Pigs asked Castro, "Why did the Americans fail?" Everyone expected one of Castro's customary lengthy political diatribes. Iustead, Castro shrugged ar

Now, after pondering the sad

Steveson wasn't informed at all & was not thoroughly (emph. added) of pre-invasion air strikes against decisions, a few illustrations of JCS involvement in the planning the steadfast JFK refusal of any and therefore does much out , the Duba by exile pilots ... " Truth is, ties here are; "Addai Stevenson was not thoroughly (emph. added) the deliberateness of his dishonesdirect U.S. expressed limitations. And when in bious force had been promised." NOT by JFK, the enduring CIA fiction, Suban exiles in the invasion amphinhi ch had been misled. "Kennedy decided ed). according to undisputed admits that the CIA does make policy and not merely carry out Cubans/CIA missed out on timing & but by zero hour appears that the CIA's actual lips to have expected U.S. havel landed with the invasion degree that no CIA people were to any direct U.S. involvement, to ize air support the llavy and the B Guatemala is concerned, scheme was based on his overlips claim gations to Cuba. Insofar as Philip war III, would have if the USSR and that could have led to World and militarily involved in the plot was JFK was quite explicit. It thus son's, Dulles - here said by Phileas, according to undisputed pub-lished accounts, like Haynes Johnforce (an injunction it also ignor the JFK almost always, perhaps 100% it was wasted In effect Thillips time of londer throw of lecided fiasco it deliberately created. policy hended down to it because truth at all, ader why he say time, insisted that there not be "the CIA, contrary to JFK's extremity JFK did author-5 the Arbenz government in to get the U.S. directly to cancel the air cover that the Bay of figs honor its treaty obliinvolvement and the Says which nalces ne there is forcesand the OF